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Abstract

Commodity Murābahah prevalent in Islamic banks resembles Tawarruq contract and Murābahah lil Amir bi al-shīra. Classical fiqh used to be a trust sale in which known profit was charged above the cost price. Islamic banks use Commodity Murābahah as a tool for managing interbank liquidity between the banks. There are mainly two methods to conclude it, i.e., finance giving and finance taking. For the sake of concluding this financing, metals are used as underlying commodities. It is reported that these metals never change hands or possessions. The same metals are sold as underlying commodities numerous times. The deposit based on these metals gives fixed returns to the Financial Institutions. Islamic Banks conclude these transactions with Conventional Banks most of the time. In 2020, the Islamic Development Bank and Dubai Islamic Bank decided to invest USD 500 million each in commodity Murābahah financing. The volume of such contracts has increased by more than USD 70 billion; till 2019, the total volume reached up to USD 2.88 trillion. There are reports of its increase of 12 % per annum. Commodity Murābahah, a last variant of Murābahah to the Purchase Orderer, is doubted by many and needs thorough analysis from the perspective of Sharī‘ah rulings. This research aims to analyse in detail the questions on the validity of Commodity Murābahah. It employs a qualitative research method and analyses the commodity Murābahah from the perspective of Islamic jurisprudence principles. The
findings of the article show some serious issues with this product.

**Keywords:** Murābahaḥ, Commodity-Murābahaḥ, Tawarruq, Islamic Banks

1. **Introduction**

Islamic banking can be described as one of the fastest-growing industries. Many Western countries are offering Islamic banking services. Most conventional banks have Islamic banking windows. Islamic banking has introduced several financing modes like Murābahaḥ, Sukūk, and Muḍārabah, Ijāraḥ etc. Per Islamic financial institutions’ opinion, products offered by them are Sharī’ah compliant. These modes or products need to be analyzed from the Islamic law perspective.

Islamic banking started back in the 1940s. Later in 1976, Dr. Sami Hamoud recommended Murābahaḥ to the Islamic Development Bank and Dubai Islamic Banks. Initially, Islamic banks introduced simple Muḍārabah and two-tier Muḍārabah. After almost two years, Murābahaḥ to the Purchase Orderer was introduced, and the real business started. This transaction had such a huge impact on the Islamic financial industry that it was termed the backbone of the Islamic banking sector. It was estimated that almost 90% or more of the finance of Islamic Banking was utilised in the transaction of Murābahaḥ.

Murābahaḥ to the Purchase Orderer was the most popular product of the Islamic banking sector. It accounted for trillions of dollars worldwide. This mode of finance is a mixture of different contracts. There are certain commodities that a customer purchases on behalf of the bank, then he purchases them from the bank on a deferred payment basis. In return, he keeps paying the installments of the money he has taken from the bank. Later, these goods were replaced by Metals provided by London Metal Exchange (LME). Murābahaḥ to the purchase orderer, then transformed into Commodity Murābahaḥ.

Commodity Murābahaḥ was introduced in the Malaysian market as a treasury product. This product is a more advanced form of Ordinary Commodity Murābahaḥ, as it uses crude palm oil so that sole reliance on London Metal Exchange (LME) can be avoided. It was recorded that internationally Commodity Murābahaḥ industry has surpassed more than USD 1.2 trillion. It has dominated or overshadowed other modes of finance for the Islamic liquidity management system. The methods used for completing this process are very doubtful. There are many question marks on implementation
procedures by banks. Contract of commodity Murābahah is the most popular transaction of Islamic Banking sector, but it has invited much criticism and question marks on its implementation methods worldwide.

It is a sale in Islamic Banking, derived from the Tawarruq, Murābahah in the classical text refers to trust sale. This research explores the questions of the legal validity of Commodity Murābahah in light of Sharī‘ah and its current application in Islamic banks.

2. Literature Review

Ahsan Khan Nyazee (2009), in his book entitled “Murābahah and Credit Sale” critically examines various aspects of Murābahah financing. It thoroughly discusses the deep Islamic legal problems in the Murābahah mode of financing and Commodity Murābahah. The author focused on primary sources of Islamic law, mainly Imām al-Shāfi‘i’s text from Kitāb-al-Umm and text from Badā‘i’ al-Sanā‘i’ by Imam Kasani, etc. Muhammad Ayub (2009), in his book “Understanding Islamic Finance” also covers the main areas of commodity Murābahah and its different avenues. He gives arguments in favor of this financing. Natalie Schoon (2009), in her book “Islamic Banking and Finance” wonderfully explains the internal mechanisms of Commodity Murābahah with pictorial descriptions. Mufti Taqi Usmani also wrote several books on Islamic banking, in which he discussed different areas of Murābahah, like, “Jadeed Maeshat aur Tijarat”, “Islami bankari ki bunyadain” etc. Another book titled “Murawija Islami Bankari” by Jamia Al-Ulum Al-Islamia, Banori Town, is a great contribution to the enlightenment of different issues of Islamic banking and Murābahah. In the whole book, Islamic banking transactions, especially Murābahah are closely analysed from angles from Sharī‘ah perspective. The book concludes that the Murābahah mode of finance is a strategy to give and take Riba. It carries many doubtful elements. Logical answers to this query were supposed to be included in the book at hand, but it is not within the author’s knowledge if they have been. Current research is aimed at comparing the views of both sides and deriving a conclusion on the commodity Murābahah as its Sharī‘ah analysis in detail is still not given because of its status as a new transaction. Current research shall address issues in commodity Murābahah from a Sharī‘ah perspective and will discuss its procedure in detail. Heavy investment in this transaction requires thorough analysis.
3. Meaning and Characteristics of Murābaḥah in Classical Text

3.1. Classical Murābaḥah

Murābaḥah in its literal sense is derived from the word Ribḥ, which means profit. It is a sale in which a seller must disclose the cost. The contract of Murābaḥah takes place at an agreed sum of profit. It is the sale of anything for the exact cost at which the seller purchased it plus an addition of a fixed sum of profit. Bayʿ al-Murābaḥah is defined as “The sale of goods for a stated cost and original price plus a stated profit that together form the Murābaḥah price. The profit must be stated with sufficient clarity so that the cost and profit are clearly determined.” Badāʿiʿ Al-Ṣanāʿiʿ defines Murābaḥah as “sale for a price (exactly) similar to the first price along with an excess as profit”.

Imam Kāsānī, Imam Sarakhsī, and other jurists state the different characteristics of Murābaḥah sale mentioned above. Like, if a person sells the commodity for a hundred pounds and charges ten pounds as profit over and above the original price. There is no harm in fixing the profit in percentages, i.e., 5 %, 10 % of the cost. That is why it is termed a trust sale (bayʿ al-ʿAmānah) in which the buyer depends on the seller’s integrity. Thus it is morally and legally binding on the seller to be truthful and honest about the facts about the actual cost and price of the goods. If the seller has received a discount or rebate, he should disclose it and transfer it to the purchaser.

The objective of Murābaḥah sale in the classical period was to protect the easily tricked buyers, especially those residing in villages and small towns. Those consumers, unaware of the market prices in cities and lacking expertise in trade, had to be saved from the tricks and stratagems of cunning traders. “Murābaḥah” is a term of classical Islamic Fiqh, categorized as a specific kind of sale that has no relation with financing originally. If a purchaser agrees to buy certain commodities at a known profit and cost from the seller, it is called a Murābaḥah sale. The basic element of Murābaḥah sale is that the cost price must be disclosed to the purchaser.

3.2. Banking Murābaḥah

Murābaḥah to the Purchase Orderer is an arrangement where the client requests the bank to purchase assets from the market or suppliers. The banks purchase those commodities and sell them to the client on deferred payment basis. Under banking Murābaḥah, there is a change from Murābaḥah with introduction of credit sales to
make it a mode of finance. Three models were developed over a period of time based on a relationship of two parties and three parties that is (a) Bank and Client, (b) (Bank - Vendor) and Client (c) Bank and (Vendor – Client). The third model is practiced in contemporary Islamic banking, where a bank appoints the client as its agent. The client goes to the market and buys a commodity on behalf of the bank, which is finally sold to the customer at a mark-up price with deferred payment. This agency contract is a safe way for banks to avoid commodity-based risks. This arrangement is more likely to make MPO a back door to interest and therefore requires extra care.\(^2\) The reason is that the client is buying the commodities for himself on behalf of the bank, where there is a greater possibility of misuse as the bank is not in a strong position to verify the case. Sometimes an arrangement may result in a synthetic Murābaḥah, where the bank’s role is reduced to simply providing finance and receiving it back in installments with extra charges without taking any recognized risk. Hence, the critics term it a backdoor of interest rather than a sale contract. So, the bank’s role is to lend the money and receive it back in installments with extra charges, which can be interest due to the following two reasons.

a. Wa’d (promise) is necessary because the bank must be sure that once it has purchased the commodities, the client must buy them.

b. Delay in payments, that is, deferred sale or credit sale,

The above two characteristics are vital in Murābaḥah to the Purchase Orderer (MPO). It cannot work without it. So MPO is based on credit sales. This arrangement of credit sale in MPO is the basis of buyback sale.\(^2\)

4. Binding property of a Promise

Islamic bankers specify in their documents that a bank is not a retailer or trader.\(^2\) They only offer financial services. So, they appoint the client as their agent to purchase the commodities for himself based on a binding promise. Even though Sharī‘ah standards by AAIOFI clearly stipulate that Murābaḥah in Islamic Banks cannot be based on a binding promise or else it will become a buyback sale. Sharī‘ah’s standards state:

“It is not permissible that the document of promise to buy (signed by the customer) should include a bilateral promise which is binding on both parties (the institution and the customer)”\(^2\)
It is also stated at another place,

“It is permissible for the institution to purchase the item from a supplier on a ‘sale or return’ basis, i.e., with the option to return it within a specified period. If the customer then does not purchase the item, the institution is able to return it to the supplier within the specified period based on the conditional option that is established in Sharia”.

The following document is the sample of the undertaking (binding promise) being taken by the bank from the client:

“Appendix BN to Master Mūrābaḥah Agreement

To Meezan bank

Dear sir,

……..we request you to acquire the asset…. Under the following terms and conditions: we shall immediately acquire the assets from you… failing which we undertake to compensate you for any actual loss suffered…..[etc]”

In case of any loss, the bank must be compensated.

5. Deferred Payment

The second most important characteristic of banking Murābaḥah has delayed payments or credit sale. In the original and classical Murābaḥah sale, the case of delayed payment was not mentioned or practiced. Hence, no discussion exists on differed payments attached to the Murābaḥah sale. However, the case of high price in credit sale is a subject of discussion among classical jurists. Imām Kāsānī defines Murābaḥah as “It is the sale for a price exactly similar to the first price along with an excess as profit”. In the event of delayed payments, the first price will not be the same due to the product’s change in time and value. The reason for it is that delay changes the value and certainty of the first price.

But Mufti Taqi Usmani and Sharī‘ah standards allow delayed payments. Mufti Taqi states regarding the payment to be made in Murābaḥah til-‘Amir bi al-shirai that, “The payments in the case of Murābaḥah may be at spot, and may be on subsequent date not necessarily imply the concept of deferred payment”.
6. Commodity Murābahah

Commodity Murābahah is another variant of Murābahah to the Purchase orderer wherein an Islamic bank first buys an asset from a commodity broker and then sells it to the customer, who then sells the commodity to a third party (also a commodity Broker) through the bank as his agent. Thus, the customer finally receives money in place of the asset.27 The lender bank, which provides finance to buy commodities, receives a fixed markup. The CIMB bank, Malaysia describes commodity Murābahah as “the purchase of certain specified commodities on a cost-plus profit basis (Murābahah) agreed upon by both parties (buyer & seller) and subsequently, the commodity is sold to another commodity trader (third party) to obtain cash”28.

Two types of commodity Murābahah transactions are introduced in Islamic banks. These are deposits given and deposits taken. Commodity Murābahah transactions are usually short-term, with a maximum period of one year.29

1. Financing is given; it is the first type of commodity, Murābahah. The purpose of Islamic financial institutions is to provide financing to their counterparty to generate a return.
2. Financing taken; this process is called reverse commodity Murābahah. The purpose of reverse commodity Murābahah is for the Islamic financial institution to take a loan from the client (usually a conventional bank) and to return it in pre-planned and agreed time installments, including a markup.

7. Liquidity Management

Commodity Murābahah is mainly used for liquidity management. Metals other than gold and silver are bought from a commodity exchange like London Metal Exchange (LME) and sold to customers with a mark-up on a deferred payment basis. The customer further sells it to receive the money.

Murābahah sale is designed so that the cost of the goods and the profit over it is decided in the beginning, along with the mode of payment, date of delivery, and repayment price. Here the banks usually do not intend to buy the commodities as they do not require them. Metals or underlying commodities are bought and sold just to maintain Shari‘ah compliance. 30

The criteria for commodities used as underlying assets in commodity Murābahah is that the goods should be non-perishable,
easily available, and identifiable. The ribawi items like gold, silver, barley, date, wheat, and salt are not allowed for this purpose. Most of the banks that use commodity Murābahah and Tawarruq transactions pursue London Metal Exchange base metals as an asset since they cover all commodity criteria and are easily identifiable and available via warrants.31

8. Financing Given Taken

The purpose of such transactions involving deposits either taken or extended for Islamic banks is to facilitate deposits to the other party based on returns. The process of cash flow is as follows.

9. Commodity Murābahah - Deposit Given32

a) Counterparty purchases warrants from Islamic Financial institution: The counterparty accepts the offer from the Islamic bank to purchase warrants on a deferred payment basis, where the mark up and the repayment date are already decided.

b) Title of ownership of warrants transfer from the Islamic bank to the counterparty: The counterparty becomes the owner of the commodities without even seeing them or getting the physical possession. The goods do not change hands and place. The counterparty becomes the owner of the warrants but does not make a payment until a later date.

c) Counterparty appoints Islamic bank as his agent and authorizes it to sell warrants on his behalf: The Islamic bank now acts as an agent to sell the warrants at the spot market to another party (usually a broker). Ownership of the warrant is transferred to the end buyer.
d) Payment from end buyer to counterparty: The counterparty is paid for the commodities with spot price. Whether the counterparty appoints the Islamic bank as an agent to sell the warrants on their behalf or arranges to sell it to a third party himself, the counterparty will be paid at the spot counter value of the warrants. In other words, the counterparty is paid for the deposit he required for.

e) The return of money by the counterparty to the Islamic bank: This payment takes place with pre-agreed installments in the future, including the original purchase price plus a pre-agreed markup. But it is to be noticed that the payments to the Islamic bank are in installments with fixed profits. The Murābaḥah, as we studied earlier, does not involve delay. Delay is permitted in ordinary credit sales. But Murābaḥah and credit sale, if amalgamated, is a ditto copy of conventional loans lent on Ribā.

The net result of the above movements of warrants and cash is that the counterparty now holds an amount of money against an offsetting payment to the Islamic bank for a principal plus a mark-up at a pre-agreed future date, thus creating a synthetic deposit. The flow of cash and warrants in a commodity Murābaḥah is designed in the following way.

Figure 3. Flow of Cash and warrants in the process of Commodity Murābaḥah

10. Discussion

Two schools of thought exist on this transaction's permissibility or impermissibility status. Scholars belonging to the
first group are in favour of this transaction. They allow binding promises for both parties, i.e., the client and the financial institution. These scholars try to prove the significance of binding promises while signing Murābahah. Their views are based on Hiyal (legal devices), which they try to prove are purely legal. Although the majority of scholars accept that legal devices are used only in exceptional cases. But they use them permanently. This school of thought belongs to renowned scholars like, Sami Hammoud, Yosuf Al-Qaradawi and Sheikh Abdul Hamid al-Sae’h, Ijaz Ahmad Samdani, and Mufti Taqi Usmani.

The opinion of proponents is based on the following arguments:

1. *Al-aṣl fi l-ashya’ al-ibaḥah* (الأصل في الأشياء الإباحة). This legal maxim means that the basis of the transaction is permissibility. By default, all the contracts will be considered permissible until otherwise proved. Hence, a sale contract will remain valid unless any prohibited element like interest is proved.

2. In the case of a credit sale, a higher price can be charged.

3. Binding promises in the Murābahah contract is Islamically legal.

All the scholars who issued these rulings mostly belong to different Islamic banks, and issue fatwas for such banks.

The opponents who do not approve such transactions argue that Murābahah is a mixture of many contracts in one contract; the seller sells what it does not have, which is not allowed in Islamic law. They further argue that the procedure of Murābahah is similar to Inah (buyback sale). Imran Ahsan Khan Niazi, Muhammad Sulaiman al. Ashqar, Rafiq al Masri, Hassan Abdullah, and scholars of Banori Town Karachi. Jāmi’ah of Banuri Town Karachi compiled a book of comprehensive fatāwa delivered by many learned scholars on this issue and expressed that this mode of finance contradicts Islamic law.

This school of thought relies on the following arguments.

1. This contract is not valid from the perspective of Islamic law because it sells what it does not have.

2. This sale is conditional and not permissible. The bank tells the client that if he is ready to buy, the bank shall buy it.

3. This contract is just like a buyback sale, which is not allowed in Islamic law based on strong evidence.

4. It is just like selling debt for debt because it is a facility agreement.
5. This arrangement mixes two sales in one sale. Credit sale and Murābaḥah sale. This type of mixing is not allowed by any classical jurists; some even stated it as unlawful.

Initially, when different modes of finance were being designed for Islamic banks, it was agreed by the scholars that this process should work on two modes that are Shirkah and Mudāribah. They started Ijārah and Murābaḥah temporarily to kick-start the industry. Mufti Taqi Usmani states about Murābaḥah: “In Islamic Banks, this Mode (Murābaḥah) is being practiced excessively, but it is a very sensitive mode. A little carelessness can mix it up with Ribā based system. Nowadays, it is practiced in Banks without understanding its reality or giving due care to its conditions. As a result, lots of problems are being created in this mode”.

The commodity Murābaḥah and Organized Tawarruq are similar contracts as far as the procedure and mechanism are concerned. Tawarruq is a reverse Murābaḥah. Organized Tawarruq (modern banking tawarruq) is quite different from real tawarruq, which is used more beneficially in Islamic banks. Commodity Murābaḥah and Organized Tawarruq are good alternatives to the conventional modes for liquidity requirements.

However, the structure of Commodity Murābaḥah and Tawarruq is widely criticized by different Shari‘ah experts.

10.1. Bank must be the owner of the commodity (base metal) before selling it to the customer

In commodity Murābaḥah, the banks do not own the commodities as they get the warrants from an institution called London Metal Exchange (LME), where no physical possession is given. The status of warrants is expressed as the ownership certificate by LME, which is not real because the same metal is used for the same purpose many times, and the title of the metal does not change. Warrants are receipts containing the details of the locality of the commodities. The whole contract or procedure takes place on paper or electronically.

10.2. Bank must not sell the commodity before getting possession of the commodity

Another important condition of sale is that the property must exist and be owned by the seller. According to the principles of Islamic Jurisprudence, the seller shall hand over the goods to the buyer or grant access without any restriction. Our Prophet (Ṣal Allāhu 'alaihi wa sallam) has prohibited selling what one does not
have or own.
Prophet (Sal Allah-u-'alaihe wa sallam) narrated, "لا تبيع ما ليس عندك".

According to a narration of Hakeem Ibn Hizam—may Allah mercy upon him—which, he reported, “O Prophet of Allah, a man comes to me asking to buy a commodity that I do not have, do I conclude the sale and purchase for him from the market? He (Sal Allah-u-'alaihe wa sallam) replied: “Do not sell what you do not have”.

In Commodity Murabahah, many doubts arise about whether permission and delivery ever take place or not. It is technically impossible to take permission and delivery because the commodities do not move from warehouses, and the transaction ends in a few minutes.

10.3. Bank should not sell commodities on the customer’s behalf

Regarding the Tawarruq transaction Shar’iah Standard, no 30 of AAOIFI clearly stipulates that the bank is not allowed to sell the commodity on behalf of the customer, or they should not arrange any proxy or third party for this purpose. Instead, the client should sell the commodity himself or through his agent. But this does not happen. The pictorial description above shows that the bank sells them on the customer’s behalf. As a result, the transaction becomes a mere tool of financing. If a bank appoints al-Mustawriq as its agent to purchase the commodity on its behalf, he later purchases it for himself; this type of transaction is invalid because the agent is not permissible to manage both sides of the sale.

10.4. Commodities must not be gold or silver

The commodities underlying the Commodity Murabahah contract are not gold and silver but serve the same purpose for which gold and silver are forbidden. The purpose of avoiding gold and silver is to avoid Ribā or Interest. Commodity Murabahah is such a tricky contract designed which acts as a Ḥilah (legal device) for legalizing benefits from Ribā. The Ribā being earned from this sale is Ribā-an-Nasiah.

10.5. Deferred sale (bay al Nasiyah) cannot be combined with Murabahah

This condition is for the general credit sale. Merging the contract of Commodity Murabahah into a credit sale is without any valid reason, and further, it does not conform to the classical literature
of Murābaḥah, as discussed earlier.

10.6. Commodities must be specified, and certain

Commodities are not identified in the Commodity Murābaḥah contract. The warrants issued from the warehouses of the London Metal Exchange are just the receipts telling the number of certain commodities. How many sales are concluded on the same volume of commodities is unknown. It is also debated that the volume of sale contracts of commodity Murābaḥah is so big that this much amount of existence of metal is doubtful.

10.7. Dealing with conventional banks

The Islamic banks also deal with conventional banks through Commodity Murābaḥah for interbank liquidity. Conventional Banks deal with Ribā without paying heed to the modes and mechanisms designed to make this sale “Sharīah compliant”. They do not bother about what they buy, its quality, and how the contract should be executed in the first place. 52

10.8. Contracts based on Commodity Murābaḥah

Commodity Murābaḥah has become a popular tool for meeting parties’ needs. Worldwide, financial institutions, as well as governments, are heavily relying on the commodity Murābaḥah. For instance, Commodity Murābaḥah is used in the oil and gas industry to purchase crude palm oil and other things. In 2015 Saudi Aramco, one of the world’s biggest oil companies, collected 10 billion USD through this mode of financing. Similarly, the commodity Murābaḥah is used in agricultural financing also. Like in 2020, the Islamic Corporation for the Development of the Private Sector (ICD) provided 25 million USD in CM financing to an Indonesian business company, PT Perkebunan Nusantara III. 53

CM is used in the Aviation sector to purchase aircraft and related equipment. In 2019, the International Air Finance Corporation (IAFC) announced the completion of a 1.2 billion USD commodity Murābaḥah financing transaction to support the purchase of eight Boeing 787 Dreamliners by the Dubai-based airlines.

Commodity Murābaḥah is also used in real estates, like purchasing land and constructing buildings. For instance, in 2017, the Dubai-based real estate developer, Emaar Properties, raised 500 million USD through a commodity Murābaḥah financing arrangement.

Different countries are using different modes of finance
according to their suitability. Kuwait and Bahrain are heavily utilizing sukūk, reverse Murābaḥah. The United Arab Emirates relies heavily on foreign exchange swaps and Islamic Certificates of Deposits based on commodity Murābaḥah contracts for liquidity and monetary policy implementation. To manage liquidity, they are forced to hold excess liquidity with the Bank of England and use Shariah-compliant instruments, such as the commodity Murābaḥah.54

11. Conclusion

It can be concluded that since the contract of commodity Murābaḥah does not fulfill the conditions of a valid sale, it is not permissible under Shariah. There are many other reasons for its impermissibility. Commodity Murābaḥah transaction is conducted through the exchange process of the sale so rapidly that thousands of sales are transacted in a few minutes electronically. Such contracts do not seem to be realistic, as the commodities are hardly delivered to the buyer.

Further, in commodity markets, proper specification of the commodities is impossible because thousands of tons are kept in one warehouse. No one even knows how many transactions are concluded on one commodity. The involvement of commodity in this contract is a backdoor to provide finance at a premium. Even if the Tawarruq and commodity Murābaḥah transaction is properly executed and Shariah compulsions are fulfilled, its impact on society is very harmful, particularly for Islamic Banking. It is time to apply Sad-al-Dharae to close the doors of Ribā in society.
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